Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 HONG K 01281 040823Z

15

ACTION EA-10

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 FEA-01 AEC-05 AID-05 CEA-01 CIAE-00

CIEP-01 COME-00 DODE-00 EB-07 FPC-01 H-01 INR-07

INT-05 L-02 NSAE-00 NSC-05 OMB-01 PM-03 RSC-01 SAM-01

OES-03 SP-02 SS-15 STR-01 TRSE-00 FRB-03 NIC-01 SAJ-01

IO-10 PRS-01 /095 W

----- 016904

R 040653Z FEB 75

FM AMCONSUL HONG KONG

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 3721

INFO AMEMBASSY BANGKOK

AMEMBASSY CANBERRA

AMEMBASSY MANILA

USLO PEKING

AMEMBASSY SEOUL

AMEMBASSY TOKYO

AMEMBASSY WELLINGTON

CONFIDENTIAL HONG KONG 1281

NOFORN

E.O. 11652: XGDS-1

TAGS: ETRD ENGR EEWT EWWT CH NZ

SUBJ: SHANTUNG CRUDE NOT SUITABLE FOR NEW ZEALAND

REF: HONG KONG 13864 (1974)

1. SUMMARY. AN OFFER OF CHINESE CRUDE FROM SAHNATUNG IN LATE OCTOBER 1974 WAS NOT ACCEPTED BY NEW ZEALAND BECAUSE OF HIGH WAX AND WATER CONTENT, AND TANKER LIMITA" TIONS. NEW ZEALAND OFFERED TO TAKE THIS TYPE OF CRUDE AFTER 1980 WHEN REFINER EXPANSION IS COMPLETED AND OFFERED TO ACCEPT A DIFFERENT QUALITY CRUDE BEFORE THEN. THE OFFER CAME AT A TIME WHEN THE CHINESE WERE SEEKING TO INTRODUCE CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 HONG K 01281 040823Z

SHENGLI CRUDE TO THE INTERNATIONAL MARKET, BUT

APPARENTLY THE EFFORT MET WITH MORE PROBLEMS THAN THE PRC MAY HAVE EXPECTED . END SUMMARY

- 2. NEW ZEALAND COMMISSON OFFICER RHYS RICHARDS (PRO-TECT) PASSED CONGENOFF COPIES OF NEW ZEALAND GOVERNMENT MESSAGES CONCERNING A PRC OFFER OF CRUDE TO NEW ZEALAND IN LATE OCTOBER 1974. BASED ON THE MESSAGES AND FROM DISUCSSION WITH RICHARDS, IT IS APPARENT THAT THE OIL, FROM AN UNSPECIFIED FIELD IN SHANGUNG PROVINCE (PRESUMABLY SHENGLI), WAS NOT SUITABLE FOR NEW ZEALAND'S REFINERIES, AS THE WAX CONTENT (15.35 PERCENT) AND THE WATER CONTENT (ABOUT 2 PERCENT) WERE TOO HIGH. (THE WAX CONTENT IS USUALLY NOT STATED IN THE STANDARD PRC SALES CONTRACT, WHICH INCLUDES ONLY SPECIFIC GRAVITY, AND SULPHUR AND WATER CONTENTS SPECIFICATIONS.) ALSO THIS TYPE OF CRUDE WOULD PRODUCE MORE RESIDUAL OIL THAN THE NEW ZEALAND MARKET (OR MANY OTHER LESS INDUSTRIALIZED MARKETS IN ASIA) REQUIRED. (THE SULPHUR CONTENT WAS GIVEN AS 1.35 PERCENT, WHICH IS HIGHER THAN OTHER SAMPLES OF SHENGLI CRUDE THAT HAVE BEEN ANALYZED IN JAPAN AND MUCH HIGHER THAN THE USUAL RANGE OF TACHING CRUDE.)
- 3. ANOTHER COMPLICATING FACTOR WAS THAT THE CHINESE SAID THEIR PORTS ARE ONLY EQUIPPED TO HANDLE TANKERS UP TO 20,000 TONS. THE PRC STATED IT DID NOT HAVE ANY TANKERS AVAILABLE TO HANDLE THE CRUDE. TO NEW ZEALAND, WHICH PRESENTLY USES 100,000 TON TANKERS, THE SIZE LIMITATION ADDED AN ADDITIONAL \$8.00 PER TON FREIGHT COST OVER THE COST OF IMPORTING EQUIVALENT OIL FROM THE PERSIIAN GULF, WHICH IS ABOUTTHE SAME DISTANCE FROM NEW ZEALAND AS SHANTUNG. SINCE NEGOTIATIONS DID NOT PROGRESS TO THE POINT OF DISCUSSINGPRICE, IT WAS NOT CLEAR TO NEW ZEALAND WHETHER THE PRC WOULD ADJUST ITS PRICE ACCORDINGLY.
- 4. THE NZG, HOWEVER, LEFT THE DOOR OPEN FOR FUTURE DEALS AFTER 1980, WHEN A NEW REFINERY WITH A CATALYTIC CRACKER IS SCHEDULED FOR COMPLETION AND CRUDE WITH HIGH WAX AND WATER CONTENT COULD BE IMPORTED AND REFINED. IT ADDED THAT IN THE "SHORTER TERM" IT WOULD BE INTERESTED CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 HONG K 01281 040823Z

IN CHINESE PETROLEUM WITH ARABIAN OR IRANIAN LIGHT CRUDE SPECIFICATIONS.

5. COMMENT. THE CHINESE SEEMED ANXIOUS TO MAKE THE INTIAL DELIVERY BEFORE THE END OF 1974, APPARENTLY FOR A QUICK FOREIGN EXCHANGE RETURN. THE CHINESE OFFER TO NEW ZEALAND CLOSELY FOLLOWED THE FIRST KNOWN SHIPMENT OF SHENGLI CRUDE TO MANILA IN MID -OCTOBER. RICHARDS, WHO

TRAVELS TO MANILA FREQUENTLY, ADDED THAT THE PHILIPPINES ALSO HAD TROUBLE, AT LEAST WITH THE FIRST SHIPMENT OF SHENGLI CRUDE, AND REQUESTED THAT THE PRC SUPPLY A BETTER GRADE. MOREOVER, DURING THIS SAME PERIOD, THE JAPANESE BOUGHT A 10,000 TON SAMPLE OF SHENGLI CRUDE AT THE FALL CANTON TRADE FAIR, BUT ALSO FOUND IT UNSUIABLE (1974 PEKING 2283). THE PRC MAY WELL HAVE NOT EXPECTED MUCH DIFFICULTIES IN MATCHIN SHENGLI CRUDE WITH MARKET REQUIREMENTS AND THIS MAY, IN PART, HAVE ADDED TO ITS CONCERN OVER FOREIGN EXCHANGE IN LATE 1974. (REF 1974 PEKING 1923, 1999.)

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED Concepts: PETROLEUM, SALES

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 04 FEB 1975 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: MartinML
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975HONGK01281

Document Number: 1975HONGK01281 Document Source: CORE Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a **Executive Order:** X1 Errors: N/A

Film Number: D750040-0179 From: HONG KONG Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19750275/aaaacpkc.tel Line Count: 127 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM

Office: ACTION EA Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3

Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 75 HONG KONG 13864, 75 (197
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: MartinML

Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags: Review Date: 03 JUL 2003

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <03 JUL 2003 by CunninFX>; APPROVED <12 NOV 2003 by MartinML>

Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JÚL 2006

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: SHANTUNG CRUDE NOT SUITABLE FOR NEW ZEALAND TAGS: ETRD, ENRG, EEWT, EWWT, CH, NZ To: STATE

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006